

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,219	09/10/2003	Andre Jeutter	2001P17947WOUS	5692
7590 08/09/2007 SIEMENS CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT.			EXAMINER	
			WONG, EDNA	
170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH ISELIN, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/659,219	JEUTTER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Edna Wong	1753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	<u>ıly 2007</u> .	•			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) 1,2,4-14 and 16-18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4-14 and 16-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanies to the second and the second are subjected to by the examine the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanies to the second are subjected to by the examine the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanies to the second are subjected to by the examine the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanies to the second are subjected to by the examine the drawing(s) filed on is/are pending in the second are subjected to by the examine the drawing(s) filed on is/are pending in the second are subjected to by the examine the drawing(s) filed on is/are pending in the second are subjected to by the examine the drawing(s) filed on is/are pending in the second are subjected to by the examine the second are subjected to be a second are subjected to second are subjected to second are	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the 8				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen 1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Information	the of Neierleness Cited (PTO-032) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) the No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/659,219

Art Unit: 1753

Page 2

This is in response to the Amendment dated July 9, 2007. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Arguments

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

I. Claims 1-2 and 4-18 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The rejection of claims 1-2 and 4-18 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.

II. Claims 13 and 15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The rejection of claims 13 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.

Art Unit: 1753

III. Claim 15 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The rejection of claim 15 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

I. Claims 1-2, 4-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

<u>Claim 1</u>

lines 8-9, recite "without homogenizing a region of the substrate located laterally adjacent the homogenized region".

Claim 13

lines 9-10, recite "without homogenizing a region of the located laterally adjacent the homogenized region".

Claim 14

lines 9-10, recite "without homogenizing a region of the substrate located laterally adjacent the homogenized region".

Applicants' specification, pages 1-5, does not mention without homogenizing a region of the substrate located laterally adjacent the homogenized region in the method. Thus, there is insufficient written description to inform a skilled artisan that applicant was in possession of the claimed invention as a whole at the time the application was filed.

However, there is sufficient written description for homogenization of the element distribution as mentioned on page 2, lines 19-20; and homogenization in the near-surface region, the layer being fused, for example at and/or under the surface, as mentioned on page 5, lines 1-2. Also, these descriptions have nothing to do with the substrate.

The Examiner has carefully considered the entire specification as originally filed, however, there is found no literal support in the specification for the limitations in amended claims 1 and 13-14. Applicants have not provided the page number and line numbers from the specification as to where the newly amended limitations are coming from. *Ex parte Grasselli*, 231 USPQ 393 (Bd. App. 1983) *aff'd mem*. 738 F.2d 453 (Fed. Cir. 1984).

Application/Control Number: 10/659,219 Page 5

Art Unit: 1753

II. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13

lines 9-10, it is unclear what is meant by "the located".

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 1-2, 4-12 and 16-18 define over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest a method for coating a substrate having at least one hole, comprising the steps of covering, applying and irradiating as presently claimed, esp., the step of irradiating a near-surface region of the coating layer to improve adhesion of the coating layer to the substrate, and to ensure homogenization of the coating layer without homogenizing a region of the substrate located laterally adjacent the homogenized region.

Claim 13 defines over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest a method for coating a turbine component having at least one hole, comprising the steps of covering, applying and irradiating as presently claimed, esp., the step of irradiating a near-surface region of the coating layer to improve adhesion of the coating layer to a substrate of the component, and to ensure homogenization of the

Application/Control Number: 10/659,219

Art Unit: 1753

coating layer and without homogenizing a region of the component located laterally adjacent the homogenized region.

Claim 14 defines over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest a method for recoating a substrate, which has already been used and having at least one hole, comprising the steps of covering, applying and irradiating as presently claimed, esp., the step of irradiating a near-surface region of the coating layer to improve adhesion of the coating layer to the substrate, and to ensure homogenization of the coating layer and without homogenizing a region of the substrate located laterally adjacent the homogenized region.

The prior art does not contain any language that teaches or suggests the above. JP 1-100302 does not teach without homogenizing a region of the component located laterally adjacent the homogenized region. JP 1-100302 teaches that both the hard substance and blade mother metal are melted and fused on the surface of the blade. Therefore, a person skilled in the art would not have been motivated to adopt the above conditions, and a prima facie case of obviousness cannot be established.

Claims 1-2, 4-14 and 16-18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claim 13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,219

Art Unit: 1753

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edna Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-1349. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Edna Wong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1753

EW August 6, 2007